# PHILOLOGY

*Oksana V. Dyshekova[1], Natalya A. Pilipenko[2]*

*([1] Russian Customs Academy, Rostov branch, Rostov-on-Don, Russian Federation; [2] Russian University of Justice, Rostov branch, Rostov-on-Don, Russian Federation)*

**On the issue of accuracy and semantic-stylistic features of legal concepts (on the example of the of the concept analysis "wages")**

The concept of "salary" is considered, and a linguistic analysis of this definition is also provided. The authors consider the meaning of the verbs "pay" and "reward" and emphasize that the use of these verbs and their derivatives as semantic correlates is not always legitimate. It is argued that the use of the concepts of "salary" and "remuneration" as synonyms is not sufficiently correct, as evidenced by a deeper linguistic analysis of the lexemes given in the work. It is emphasized that the language of the law must be extremely precise, therefore, the selection of lexemes should be carried out more carefully, taking into account both historical features in semantics and word usage, and the features of modern use.

**Keywords:** salary, labor cost, remuneration, semantic structure, prefixal verbs, derivative, context, semantic transformations, figurative meaning.

*March 10, 2024*