

PHILOSOPHY

Alexander I. Bobkov, Sergey A. Galtsev

(Irkutsk state university. Irkutsk, Russian Federation; Irkutsk national research university. Irkutsk, Russian Federation)

Sociocentric religion and quasireligiousness: distinction of meanings

The philosophical distinction of concepts "sociocentric religion" and "quasireligion" is presented. It is claimed that identification of these concepts leads to lack of understanding of need of a sacralization of the social as the adoptions of normal social life and laicization as result of a celebration of the quasireligiousness created for the purpose of the adoption of domination political over religious as the only force capable to approve before unknown social norm. Recognition of sociocentric religion other than quasireligiousness leads to withdrawal from an eclecticism method, defining it not as mixing identical entities and approving their universality and as leading to the wrong judgment of religious tradition and replacement with its quasireligious ideology. It is shown the genetic distinction of the phenomena connected with concepts "quasireligion" and "sociocentric religion", approving secondary origin of the first. In the context of this distinction it is specified opposite influence of these phenomena on ethnocultural identity, at the same time the quasireligion designates itself as the spiritual base of its crisis, and the sociocentric religion just acts as the base of its overcoming.

Key words: sociocentric religion, quasireligion, people, weight, sacralization of the social, sacred hierarchy, spiritual community.

March 4, 2019